1

2

3

4

5

6

7 8 In re:

9

10

11

12

13

v.

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21 22

23

26 27

25

28 / / /

## POSTED ON WEBSITE NOT FOR PUBLICATION

## UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT

## EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

Case No. 08-90753-D-7

Adv. Pro. No. 08-9031-D

JESSE ADRIAN WAGNER, Debtor.

JESSE ADRIAN WAGNER,

Plaintiff,

CALIFORNIA STUDENT AID COMMISSION, et al., Defendants.

This memorandum decision is not approved for publication and may not be cited except when relevant under the doctrine of law of the case or the rules of claim preclusion or issue preclusion.

## MEMORANDUM DECISION

On January 15, 2009, Jesse Adrian Wagner, the plaintiff in this adversary proceeding, filed an application for an order authorizing him to appear by telephone at an upcoming hearing, or in the alternative, for an order removing the upcoming hearing from calendar and taking the motion as submitted on the pleadings ("the Application"). The basis of the Application is that the plaintiff cannot appear in person at the hearing because he is incarcerated.

In his memorandum of points and authorities, filed with the Application, the plaintiff cites several cases for the proposition that this court has jurisdiction to authorize appearances by telephone, and concludes with a request "that this court issue an order allowing plaintiff to appear by telephone."

In his supporting declaration, the plaintiff refers to California Judicial Council Form FL-679, a copy of which is attached to the declaration. This reference is apparently intended to suggest that this court make use of the same form. The form, however, is merely a means for requesting that a party to a family law proceeding be permitted to appear at a court hearing by telephone.

The plaintiff fails to appreciate that this court already has in place policies and procedures whereby parties may appear by telephone at most non-evidentiary hearings, including hearings such as the February 4, 2009 hearing that is the subject of the Application. The plaintiff needs no further order from this court in order to be allowed to appear by telephone on that date or at any other hearing that falls within the parameters set forth in the policies and procedures. In short, the plaintiff already has the relief he seeks.

The court has previously made the plaintiff aware of these policies and procedures, by reference in its Memorandum Decision filed August 21, 2008 in this adversary proceeding. For the plaintiff's convenience, an additional copy of the policies and procedures is attached hereto as Exhibit A. The plaintiff's request for an order authorizing him to appear by telephone will therefore be denied as moot (unnecessary).

The plaintiff's request in the alternative for an order removing the hearing from calendar and deeming the motion submitted on the pleadings is similarly denied as moot. Because the plaintiff may appear by telephone, there is no need to take this additional step. As an aside, it bears mention that in some instances, where the court finds that oral argument would not be helpful, particular matters are removed from calendar. The court issues pre-hearing dispositions where parties may ascertain, usually about 24 hours prior to the hearing time, whether their particular hearing has been removed from calendar or will go forward as scheduled. These rulings may be found on the court's website, www.caeb.uscourts.gov, under the Pre-Hearing Dispositions tab. The court advises the parties that the hearing scheduled for February 4, 2009 in this adversary proceeding will not be removed from calendar but will go forward.

The plaintiff may provide the appropriate prison officials with copies of this decision and the attached information and procedures re telephone appearances, so as to facilitate his appearance. The plaintiff will need to take the steps necessary to appear by telephone at the hearing.

21 Dated: January <u>16</u>, 2009

ROBERT S. BARDWIL
United States Bankruptcy Judge